UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,661	01/29/2004	Michael Svilar	10761.1467-00	5386
	7590 02/24/201 egan, Henderson,	0	EXAMINER	
Farabow, Garre	tt & Dunner, LLP	MCPHILLIP, ADRIAN J		
901 New York Washington, DO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
.			3623	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/24/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

betty.finley@finnegan.com dianna.williams@finnegan.com catherine.vanhouten@finnegan.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/767,661	SVILAR ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Adrian J. McPhillip	3623		

	Adrian J. McPhillip	3623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 January 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	•	20/)	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original controls.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	liance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	e of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	out prior to the data of filing a brief	ill mat be antended be	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	•	lucing or simplifying tl	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	·	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 4, 7, 9-11, 13, 16, 19, 21-23.		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: 1, 4, 7, 9-11, 13, 10, 19, 21-23. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Beth V. Boswell/			
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3623			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 25-41 have been newly added without cancelling any additional claims.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are unpersuasive. In particular Applicant argues that the finality of the prior Office Action was inappropriate. Applicant's primary argument for this assertion is that the Examiner's presentation of the Gatto reference to support the previously officially noticed facts is evidence that the fact itself was not capable of instant and questionable demonstration. This is incorrect as the only reason that the Examiner provided this reference was to indeed prove that the fact was capable of instant and questionable demonstration. By providing the reference the Examiner has actually proven that the fact was capable of instant and unquestionable demonstration therefore, since the Applicant has failed to present evidence that the fact(s) in question were not well known, this argument is unpersuasive. It is further noted that the use of a reference to support an Officially Noticed fact does not constitute a change in the grounds of rejection. The claims are still rejected in view of the cited prior art and further in view of Examiner's official notice. The Examiner has merely provided evidence to support the fact(s) in question in response to the Applicant's assertion that the facts were not of capable of instant and unquestionable demonstration and in an effort to efficiently advance prosecution of the case. Applicant's arguments are therefore unpersuasive and do not place the application in condition for allowance.